




Livestock*A*Syst



Livestock*A*Syst

Livestock Improvement Action Plan

Risk question	List high-risk practice(s) from Livestock*A*Syst and medium-risk practices that do not meet MAEAP requirements	Required for MAEAP verification	Alternative low-risk practice (include potential sources of technical and financial assistance)	Action plan	
				Planned completion date	Indicate date when completed
6.02	Example: Most roof water and upslope watershed drainage runs through livestock lot. No clean water system in place.	Yes	Divert clean roof runoff away from livestock lot. Repair barn gutters and downspouts, discharge roof water in vegetated area west of barn. Install curb/berm on east side of lot to divert runoff.	Sept. 2011	(✓) Completed Aug. 28, 2011

(continued on pages 32 and 33)

I understand that this livestock system assessment (Livestock*A*Syst) and corresponding Livestock System Improvement Action Plan were developed on the basis that I have disclosed, to the best of my knowledge, all information pertaining to my livestock operations.

Farm address:

Producer's signature _____

Street _____

Date _____

City _____

Livestock*A*Syst conducted by:

State _____ Zip _____

Name _____

Watershed name: _____

Title _____ Date _____

Progressive Approach for the MAEAP Livestock System

Introduction

The Michigan Agriculture Environmental

Assurance Program (MAEAP) provides an

excellent opportunity for Michigan farmers to manage their farms proactively and voluntarily to protect and enhance soil and water resources. For livestock producers, the ultimate accomplishment in MAEAP is Livestock System verification. Some producers may elect to pursue immediately the completion of a Comprehensive Nutrient Management Plan (CNMP) and MAEAP Livestock System verification. However, many producers find that smaller, progressive steps in environmental improvement are more economical and practical.

What is Livestock*A*Syst?

The MAEAP Livestock*A*Syst is designed to meet the needs of those producers who choose to use a risk management-based assessment to work their way to MAEAP verification via a progressive approach.

The Livestock*A*Syst is a series of risk questions and answers about livestock management practices reflecting components of a CNMP. Producers can work one-on-one with a non-regulatory MAEAP partner to identify potential environmental risks and to develop a confidential Livestock Improvement Action Plan to reduce those risks. The action plan is the producer's plan and can be completed at his/her own pace. There is no deadline. Producers determine how far and how fast to go.

Producers have several options for using Livestock*A*Syst:

1. Complete the risk questions to identify practices that present a high risk of contaminating water resources. At this point, producers may continue using Livestock*A*Syst to develop an implementation plan, or they may determine that they want to obtain the services of a certified CNMP provider to help them through the process of developing a plan to implement the desired changes.

2. Develop a Livestock Improvement Action Plan.

Producers may choose to implement the action plan using their own resources, or they may choose to enter into an Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP) contract to obtain cost-share assistance. The EQIP contract may include the development of a CNMP.

3. Complete implementation of the Livestock

Improvement Action Plan prepares the producer to develop a CNMP, if that is his or her choice, and achieve MAEAP Livestock System verification. The owner of a MAEAP verified farm is eligible for a variety of incentives. The Livestock*A*Syst allows owners of small and medium-sized farms to enjoy the peace of mind of knowing that their practices are in conformance with the Right to Farm (RTF) Siting and Manure Generally Accepted Agricultural Management Practices (GAAMPs).

4. Farms that have been verified in the MAEAP Livestock System using a CNMP may complete the Livestock*A*Syst for reverification.

How does Livestock*A*Syst Work?

1. The environmental risk questions are grouped into seven sections. Producers select all relevant sections. Not all risk questions will apply to all livestock farms. Sections are:

- Whole-farm Nutrient Balance
- Farm Site Review
- Manure Spreading Plan
- Conservation Practices on Fields Used for Manure Application
- Emergency Plan and Employee Training
- Mortality Management and Veterinary Waste Disposal
- Odor Management

Risk questions that address management practices that are regulated by state or federal law **indicate illegal practices with black bold print**. The numbered footnotes indicate what regulation(s) is (are) violated. (See Table 1, page 31.)

Risk questions that address management practices covered by the Michigan Right to Farm Act indicate the **risk level required for protection from nuisance lawsuits with blue bold italic print**.

Finally, a blue box indicates the management level(s) required for MAEAP verification.

MAEAP management requirements are aligned with state and federal regulations, the Michigan Right to Farm Act and environmentally based management practices that are supported by research. The records and/or plans that indicate the approved management practices have been implemented on the farm are listed in the column next to the risk question. This evidence will provide the basis for awarding environmental assurance through MAEAP.

2. Producers answer each risk question by selecting the statement that best describes conditions on their farm. The risk question answers indicate whether management practices have a low, medium or high risk of pollution. As noted above, some questions are coded to indicate conformance with a Right to Farm GAAMPs or violation of state law.
3. After completing each section, producers list the practices that present a high risk of contaminating water resources in the Livestock Improvement Action Plan. (The plan begins inside the front cover of the bulletin.) Medium risks are also included that do not meet MAEAP requirements.
4. In the Livestock Improvement Action Plan, producers list alternative practices, structures or equipment that they plan to use to help reduce risks, and sources of technical and financial assistance. A target date is included for accomplishing the changes as well as a target date for MAEAP verification.
5. Participation in an approved MAEAP Phase 1 educational session is also required for MAEAP verification.

After developing and implementing a plan to address the risks indicated by the Livestock*A*Syst, producers can contact the Michigan Department of Agriculture and Rural Development (MDARD) to request a farm visit by calling 517-373-9797. An MDARD inspector will schedule a visit at the producer's convenience.

Confidential Assistance

Participating farmers are offered confidential, one-on-one guidance through the risk assessment process. Confidential assistance is offered by members of MAEAP's nonregulatory partner organizations, including local conservation districts, Michigan State University Extension and the Michigan Milk Producers Association. Producers may indicate which organization they would prefer to work with or may contact the MAEAP office at 517-373-9797.

Assistance is available to help producers in a variety of ways, including:

- Guide producers through the Livestock*A*Syst assessment.
- Help producers understand MAEAP and other environmental expectations.
- Identify farm-specific areas of concern and opportunity related to environmental stewardship.
- Set farm-specific goals, timelines, and plans for improving and sustaining good environmental stewardship.
- Identify the appropriate resource persons to assist in the completion of specific steps toward environmental improvement.

No Obligation

Completing the Livestock*A*Syst does not obligate the farmer to specific changes. Farmers can progress as far as they feel comfortable or to meet individual farm goals. Note that some circumstances (e.g., CAFO designations, some EQIP requirements and Michigan Department of Environmental Quality [MDEQ] enforcement actions) require farms to implement a CNMP and/or other farm practice changes more quickly.

A Few Final Words

The key to Livestock*A*Syst is that once producers have identified environmental risks, they implement their plan to reduce the risk(s). Some of the stewardship practices that will reduce risks may cost very little and take very little time to implement.

Other practices may involve additional cost and may not be implemented for a few years. It is important, however, to have a plan to follow.

Producers who have developed a plan and implemented changes to address their risks are ready to consider MAEAP verification of their Livestock System.

Points of Reference

The Michigan Right to Farm Act, P.A. 93 of 1981, was enacted to provide farmers with protection from nuisance lawsuits. This state statute authorized the Michigan Commission of Agriculture to develop and adopt Generally Accepted Agricultural and Management Practices (GAAMPs) for farms and farm operations in Michigan. These voluntary practices are based on available technology and scientific research and promote sound environmental stewardship and help maintain a farmer's right to farm. The current Right to Farm GAAMPs are posted on the Michigan Department of Agriculture Web site: www.michigan.gov/mda.

PA. 451 of 1994, Part 82 ensures the confidentiality of the producer information that farmers provide to the MDA for system verification. Any information connected with the development, implementation or verification of a conservation plan or conservation practice is confidential.

Tools in the Livestock*A*Syst Supplement:

Animal Waste Management (AWM). AWM is a planning/design tool for animal feeding operations that can be used to estimate the production of manure, bedding and process water, and determine the size of storage/treatment/facilities. The procedures and calculations used in AWM are based on the USDA-NRCS Agricultural Waste Management Field Handbook.

BODA recordkeeping forms. These forms provide the necessary documentation for both composting and burial of mortalities. These forms are found at www.maeap.org and in the Michigan State University Extension bulletin "Recordkeeping System for Crop Production," E-2342.

Manure Management: Getting Started. This nutrient balance worksheet is a tool to determine farm land base sustainability. Find at www.maeap.org.

Manure storage review sheets. This evaluation checklist assists in determining proper storage construction and notes if the structure can be verified. Find at www.maeap.org.

Odor management plan. This plan has been developed to address odors associated with livestock operations. Elements of an odor management plan can be found at www.maeap.org.

Proper Disposal of Dead Animal Carcasses Worksheet. This worksheet helps evaluate proper disposal of dead animal bodies and compliance with the Bodies of Dead Animals Act (BODA). Find at www.maeap.org.

Silage leachate management. This information provides tips for reducing silage leachate and associated risks. Find in the CNMP Guidance Document, question 7, at www.maeap.org.

Whole Farm Nutrient Balance

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
1.01) What portion of the animal feed is produced on your farm?	75 percent or more of the protein and phosphorus in the ration originates from on-farm sources.	Between 50 and 75 percent of the protein and phosphorus in the ration originate from on-farm sources.	Less than 50 percent of the protein and phosphorus in the ration originate from on-farm sources. This results in the buildup of soil phosphorus and other nutrients.		
1.02) Is there adequate land base for all nutrients used on the farm?	There is adequate land base or manure is sold off site.	Lacks adequate land base but fields test low (<75 ppm) in phosphorus and manure can be balanced on nitrogen.	Lacks adequate land base.		Complete Manure Management: Getting Started (see Supplement) or use NRCS farm nutrient balance spreadsheet.

Farm Site Review

2.01) Do rain, snow (including plowed snow) roof water or surface water come into contact with manure, compost, feed/silage, livestock lots or travel lanes resulting in contaminated runoff?	No contact or contaminated runoff is collected or treated and does not discharge directly to surface water.		Areas are exposed to rain/snow or surface water, and runoff is not collected or treated. Runoff discharges directly to surface water. ⁴		Visual inspection of the farmstead. Visual inspection of flow patterns is most apparent during or shortly after a rainfall event and/or thaw.
2.02) If surface drains are present around the farmstead, what is going to them and where do they end up?	Surface drains do not capture polluted runoff or there are surface drains but runoff is collected or treated and does not discharge directly to surface water.		Surface drains collect contaminated runoff and discharge directly to surface water ⁴ or run to low areas and pond.		Visual inspection of the farmstead. Visual inspection of flow patterns is most apparent during or shortly after a rainfall event and/or thaw.
2.03) How far away is the well from the following areas: 1) Manure stacking areas? 2) Livestock lots?	Isolation distance is maximized to the extent possible but is not less than 75 feet for public wells and 50 feet for private wells.		Isolation distance is less than 75 feet for public wells and 50 feet for private wells. ^{1, 3}		Required for MAEAP Farmstead System verification.

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
2.04) Do livestock watering systems have blackflow prevention devices to protect the well from contamination?	All watering systems have blackflow prevention built into the waterer or in the water lines to the waterers, or an air gap.		No backflow prevention for livestock watering systems. ¹		Required for MAEAP Farmstead System verification.
Milking center wastewater					
3.01) How many gallons per cow per day are utilized in parlor cleanup?	Fewer than 10 gallons.	Between 10 to 20 gallons.	More than 20 gallons.		
3.02) How is plate cooler water handled?	100% of plate cooler water is reused for livestock watering or other livestock-related use, or permitted for discharge.	Less than 10,000 gal/day are discharged onto ground surface. Discharged water does not intercept surface water.	More than 10,000 gal/day are discharged onto ground surface or intercept surface water without a permit. ⁴		Appropriate cooling water management demonstrated.
3.03) What are your parlor cleanup practices?	Initial pipeline rinse captured and added to manure. Waste milk never poured down drain. Manure and excess feed removed from parlor before wash-down.	Some milk poured down drain. Some manure and excess feed removed before wash-down.	All waste milk poured down drain. Manure and excess feed frequently washed down drain. Waste milk is discharged. ⁴		Appropriate parlor cleanup practices demonstrated.
3.04) Is all wastewater collected and stored?	Wastewater is stored, used or hauled daily.	Wastewater passes through a properly functioning filtration system.	Wastewater is directly discharged to a lake, drainage ditch, stream or field. ⁴		Appropriate wastewater management is demonstrated. No direct discharge.
3.05) Is rejected milk collected and stored?	Rejected milk is stored, hauled out or fed.		Milk is discharged ⁴ , put into septic system or put into treatment strip.		Rejected milk is properly managed.

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Milking system septic systems (if this method is not used, skip to the next section).					
3.06) Is all milkhouse water treated by the septic system?	All milkhouse water is treated by septic system.		Some water is not treated or is discharged to tile, inlet or drainage ditch. ⁴		Collection and treatment of all wastewater are demonstrated.
3.07) Is the septic system managed adequately to handle the volume of wastewater?	Septic system is managed in a manner to prevent pollution to waters of the state.		Septic system is not managed adequately and discharges directly to surface waters. ⁴		System operating effectively, without evidence of a discharge.
3.08) Is the septic system periodically pumped?	Tank pumped more frequently than once a year.	Annual pumping	Tank is pumped less frequently than once a year.		
Application of wastewater to designed infiltration system (if this method is not used, skip to next section).					
3.09) Is storage used prior to treatment, such as a settling tank or detention basin?	Properly sized settling tank, detention basin or other pretreatment system is used.	Undersized settling tank, lagoon or other pretreatment system.	No pretreatment.		
3.10) Does the system handle the capacity of milking center wastewater generated?	Infiltration area effectively treats the quantity of wastewater generated. Treatment area is managed to prevent pollution to waters of the state.	Infiltration area shows minor erosion, wastewater ponding or burned vegetation.	Infiltration area has excessive erosion, wastewater ponding or burned vegetation.		Properly operating system confirmed by visual inspection of designed infiltration system.
3.11) How is the designed infiltration system maintained?	Vegetation maintained and harvested at least once per year. Accumulated solids removed, if needed.	Occasional maintenance.	No maintenance.		Vegetation maintained and harvested. Records of maintenance kept.

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Direct discharge to surface water.					
3.12) Is wastewater directly discharged to a lake, drainage ditch or stream?	<i>Milk parlor and milkhouse wastewater are managed in a manner to prevent discharge into waters of the state.</i>		Milking center wastewater is discharged directly to surface water. ⁴		No discharge present.
Manure storage (includes all storage systems used for manure, wastewater or runoff containment).					
4.01) What is the storage capacity of manure systems?	There is 6 months or greater manure storage.	There is less than 6 months storage; adequate land base is available for winter and summer applications.	There is minimal or no manure storage on site. Adequate land base is not available.		Manure Application Risk index (MARI) shows adequate acres for winter spreading. Records on manure production and storage capacity provided. MAEAP manure storage review sheets or NRCS animal waste management calculations are completed for storages to determine volume. (See Supplement.)
Liquid manure storage systems					
4.02) How far is the manure storage from any well?	For private wells: 150 feet or greater or with approved deviation. For public wells (dairy farms or farms with employees): at least 800 feet from the farm well. Or, approved isolation distance deviation for the well. Or, between 200 and 800 feet with approved storage and well and protective site features.		For private wells: less than 150 feet. ¹ For public wells (dairy farms or farms with employees): less than 800 feet from the farm well. ⁸		Required for MAEAP Farmstead System verification.

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) *Blue print (bold italic)* indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Liquid manure storage systems (continued)					
4.03) What design standards are utilized for liquid storage structures?	<i>Construction design for manure storage and treatment facilities meets standards and specifications in accordance with MI NRCS-FOTG, Concrete Manure Storages Handbook (MWPS-36), Circular Concrete Manure Tanks publication TR-9 (Midwest Plan Service, 1998).</i> For steel: Manual of Steel Construction, American Institute of Steel Construction. For concrete: Building Code Requirements for Reinforced Concrete, ACI 318, American Concrete Institute. No evidence of overflow. For earthen storage, the permeability of the earthen liner is known and/or completion of FAS 112S shows performance equivalency.	Storage was designed and built by professionals, but the as-built design standards are unknown.	Storage was designed and built without engineering standards.		Appropriate manure storage design and installation demonstrated. Completed MAEAP manure storage review sheets or as-built engineering standards available. (See Supplement.)
4.04) Are structures properly maintained?	Structure is properly maintained and in good condition. No damage to the liner or breaches evident. No visible signs of issues with push-off ramps, load-out areas, pumps, piping, etc.	Structure appears to be in good condition.	Lining material integrity broken. Evidence of overflow. Coarse-textured soils, no clay liner. Evidence of extensive cracking, leaning, etc. Structure needs repair.		MAEAP manure storage review sheets completed. (See Supplement.) Additional criteria may be required for CNMP development.
4.05) Are areas adjacent to manure storage structures properly maintained?	Banks are mowed and inspected regularly for potential problems. No brush, trees or animal burrows present.	Banks are not mowed regularly. Woody plant material present.	Lack of maintenance around storage site and/or numerous areas in need of repair and/or burrows present.		MAEAP manure storage review sheets completed. (See Supplement.)

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Liquid manure storage systems (continued)					
4.06) Is clean water (i.e., roof and surface runoff) diverted away from the manure storage facility?	Clean water is diverted away from manure storage.	Clean water is not diverted but storage is designed to accommodate the additional water while still maintaining the freeboard.	Potential exists for overflow of manure storage.		MAEAP manure storage review sheets completed. (See Supplement.)
4.07) How is freeboard maintained and overflow prevented in storage structures?	Minimum freeboard is known and observed. A minimum freeboard of 12 inches (6 inches for fabricated structures) plus the additional storage volume necessary to contain the precipitation and runoff from a 25-year, 24-hour storm event. Freeboard markers are in place.	No evidence of manure overflowing storage. Safe freeboard level is known but not visibly marked. Freeboard not always maintained.	Evidence that manure overflowed the storage structure. Freeboard level is unknown and unmarked.		Appropriate manure storage management demonstrated. Safe freeboard level indicated on storage. Runoff is calculated.
4.08) Is human sewage added to the manure or wastewater?	No.		Yes. ^{4, 10}		Required for MAEAP Farmstead System verification.
Solid-bedded manure systems					
5.01) Where is manure temporarily stacked at the farmstead?	Manure can be temporarily stacked on an impermeable pad with sides. Runoff does not reach surface water or pond in low areas.	Manure stacked on the ground with appropriate management such as rotating locations, complete periodic removal of manure, records documenting timing of removal and location used and seeding of previous location.	Stacked on coarse-textured soil or earthen livestock yard receiving limited hoof traffic without appropriate management to reduce runoff and leaching. Or manure is stacked in the same location every year. Evidence that manure-contaminated runoff flows to surface water ⁴ or to adjacent property.		Appropriate temporary manure stacking demonstrated at the farmstead.

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Solid-bedded manure systems (continued)					
5.02) How long is manure temporarily stacked at the farmstead?	Less than 90 days. Stacked in different locations each time.	More than 90 days but less than 365. Stacked in different locations each time.	At least 365 days or more and/or stacked in same location each time.		Manure not stacked for more than 365 days.
5.03) At the farmstead, where is manure temporarily stacked in relation to surface water?	Manure stockpiles are in a location that does not allow for runoff to flow onto neighboring property or into surface waters.		Manure stockpiles located within 50 feet of surface water. No means of runoff or leachate control. Slope is toward surface water. Runoff is directly discharged to surface water. ⁴		Appropriate temporary manure stacking demonstrated for surface water protection.
5.04) At the farmstead, what management practices are used to reduce odors and pests from outside manure stockpiles?	Stockpiled manure is at least 50 feet away from property lines or 150 feet away from non-farm homes and stockpiled manure is covered with a tarp, fleece blanket, straw, woodchips or other materials or additives to reduce odors and pests.	Stockpiled manure is at least 50 feet away from property lines or 150 feet away from non-farm homes or stockpiled manure is covered with a tarp, fleece blanket, straw, woodchips or other materials or additives to reduce odors and pests.	Stockpiled manure is closer than 50 feet to property lines or 150 feet to non-farm homes and stockpiled manure is not covered. No additives are used to reduce odors and pests.		Appropriate manure stacking demonstrated for odor and pest control.
5.05) How are solid manure storage structures designed and constructed?	Constructed with a floor of impermeable material (concrete, compacted asphalt) and with walls that prevent leachate from entering surrounding soils. Roof or cover prevents rainfall from entering storage.	Constructed with floor of fine- or medium-textured soils. Leachate will have direct contact with earthen floor or side walls. Leachate and rainfall/snowmelt runoff discharged into a designed system.	Earthen floor constructed with coarse-textured soils. Rainfall and leachate will have direct contact with earthen floor or sidewalls. Runoff and leachate are uncontrolled and discharge directly to surface water. ⁴		Appropriate manure storage design and management for leachate/runoff control.

12

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Solid-bedded manure systems (continued)					
5.06) How are buildings with bedded manure packs designed and constructed?	Constructed with a floor of impermeable material or fine-textured soil. Adequate bedding is provided to maintain solid nature of manure. No rainfall or runoff enters the manure area. No waterers in the building.	Medium- to fine-textured soils, limited bedding provided, some rainfall or runoff enters manure area. Waterers in the building.	Building has an earthen floor on coarse-textured soil. Contaminated runoff directly discharges to surface water. ⁴		Appropriate manure storage design and management for leachate/runoff control.
5.07) Is runoff from manure storage area(s) directly discharging to surface water or groundwater?	Provisions made to control and/or treat runoff from stored manure. And/or a designed and maintained vegetative infiltration area or runoff storage basin effectively handles storage runoff.	Inadequate runoff control. Signs of manure runoff past perimeter of vegetated area or exceeding storage basin capacity.	Manure storage runoff discharges directly to surface water. ⁴		Appropriate runoff control from manure storage area(s).
5.08) How is manure temporarily stacked in the field in relation to surface water?	Manure stockpiles are kept a least 150 feet from surface waters or areas subject to flooding unless conservation practices are used to protect against runoff and erosion losses to surface waters.		Manure stockpiles are closer than 150 feet to surface waters or areas subject to flooding, and conservation practices are not used to protect against runoff and erosion losses to surface waters.		Appropriate temporary manure stacking demonstrated in the field for surface water protection.
5.09) What management practices are used to reduce odors and pests from manure stockpiled in the field?	Stockpiled manure is at least 150 feet away from non-farm homes and stockpiled manure is covered with a tarp, straw or other materials or additives are used to reduce odors and pests.	Stockpiled manure is at least 150 feet away from non-farm homes.	Stockpiled manure is closer than 150 feet to non-farm homes.		Appropriate manure stacking demonstrated for odor and pest control.

13

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Solid-bedded manure systems (continued)					
5.10) How long is manure stockpiled in the field?	<i>Manure is spread as soon as field and weather conditions allow, and does not exceed six months; or if covered with an impermeable cover, twelve months.</i>		Manure stockpiled for more than six months without a cover, or more than 12 months with an impermeable cover.		Manure not stockpiled for more than 365 days.
Outside livestock lot management					
6.01) How far is the livestock lot from surface water?	Livestock lot is more than 300 feet from surface water and runoff control protects neighboring land areas and prevents direct discharge to surface waters or groundwater.	Livestock lot is less than 300 feet from surface water and runoff control protects neighboring land areas and prevents direct discharge to surface waters or groundwater.	Evidence that manure-contaminated runoff flows from lot and discharges directly to surface water ⁴ or to adjacent property.		Appropriate livestock isolation distance from surface water.
6.02) What efforts are made to divert unwanted drainage from upslope watersheds and roof water from becoming contaminated with manure?	Provisions are made to collect, store, utilize and/or treat manure accumulations and contaminated runoff from outside open lots used for raising livestock. Clean runoff is diverted away from the livestock lot.	Most roof water and upslope watershed drainage are diverted around livestock lot. Water that contacts manure is treated or contained and applied to cropland.	No clean water system in place. Most roof water and upslope watershed drainage runs through lot.		Appropriate clean water management for livestock lot(s).

14

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Outside livestock lot management (continued)					
6.03) How is livestock lot runoff managed to protect surface water, groundwater and/or neighboring properties?	All lot runoff is directed to a properly designed and maintained runoff storage basin, or runoff is directed to a designed settling basin and vegetated infiltration area where vegetation is annually harvested. No evidence of runoff to surface water, groundwater and/or neighboring properties , or ponding in low areas.	No evidence of runoff flow to surface water or ponding in low areas. Dense vegetation or cropland that is annually harvested exists between lot and surface water.	Evidence of runoff flow discharging directly to surface water ⁴ or intermittent waterway.		Appropriate site management for livestock lot(s). Producer records of manure scraping/ collection should be kept and evaluated to assess risk reduction.
6.04) How often is manure scraped and removed from livestock lots?	Manure is scraped and removed periodically from livestock lot or other heavy use areas.		Manure is seldom scraped and removed from lot and feeding and watering areas.		Appropriate manure management in livestock lot(s).
6.05) What type of floor or base does the livestock lot have?	Properly maintained concrete or compacted asphalt.	Continuous-use, compacted dirt or compacted gravel. Minimal plant material growing.	Poorly compacted dirt or gravel layer as indicated by plant growth.		Appropriate floor or base in livestock lot(s).
Pasture management					
7.01) Are there current soil tests on the pastures?	All fields are sampled and tested on a regular basis , at least every 1 to 4 years, depending on crops being grown and the cropping system.	Most fields are sampled and tested every 1 to 4 years. Producer plans to bring all field soil tests up-to-date within the next 3 years. (See also 10.01).	Fields have not been tested within the past 4 years.		Field names or map. Acres in the cropped portions of the field. Up-to-date soil test reports or schedule to bring all tests up-to-date. If pursuing a CNMP, soil samples should be taken every 3 years or more frequently.

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Pasture management (continued)					
7.02) What is the condition of pasture vegetation?	Pasture is well-managed with all areas vegetated. Runoff from pasture feeding and watering areas travels through a vegetated filter area to protect surface and groundwater. Or, no contaminated runoff is noted.	Pasture is well-managed and vegetated except in small feeding and watering areas, which are scraped. Runoff from pasture feeding and watering areas travels through a vegetated filter area to protect surface and groundwater. Or, no contaminated runoff is noted.	Pasture is overgrazed with bare spots and weedy areas. Erosion may be present. Runoff from pastures is carrying sediment and nutrients to surface waters ⁴ or neighboring property.		No direct discharge from pasture(s).
7.03) How is the pasture managed to protect surface water?	Livestock are excluded from actual contact with streams or watercourses except for controlled crossings and accesses or pasture management measures are in place to protect neighboring land areas and prevent direct discharges to surface water or groundwater.		Runoff results in direct discharge to surface waters . ⁴ Livestock have free access to streams or watercourses, causing erosion.		Pasture management to protect surface water from erosion and contamination demonstrated.
7.04) What is being done to reduce manure concentration around watering tanks/feeders in pasture areas?	Water tank/feeding areas are rotated to different areas of pasture. Or, watering/feeding areas are permanent, but manure is removed frequently to prevent concentration of nutrients. Runoff from pasture feeding and watering areas travels through a vegetated filter area to protect surface water and groundwater.	Watering/feeding areas are permanent, but manure is removed periodically to prevent concentration of nutrients. Runoff from pasture feeding and watering areas travels through a vegetated filter area to protect surface water and groundwater.	Watering/feeding areas are permanent with infrequent or no manure removal. There is evidence of direct discharge to surface water ⁴ or ponding in low areas.		Proper manure management around water ⁴ and feed demonstrated.

16

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
7.05) How are animals handled in pastures or fields when ground is frozen or snow-covered?	Livestock are removed from fields or pastures during the winter months where runoff is a concern.	Livestock are grazed on fields or pastures for part of the winter months where runoff is a concern.	Livestock are present all winter on pastures or fields where runoff is a concern.		
General silage storage					
8.01) Does untreated silage leachate or polluted runoff run to a low area and pond?	<i>Provisions are made to control and/or treat leachate to protect groundwater and surface water.</i>		Silage leachate ponding and/or runoff evident.		Appropriate silage leachate management demonstrated. (See Supplement.)
8.02) Is clean water (rain water, snow melt, etc.) diverted away from silage?	Clean water is diverted away from stored feed.	Clean water comes in contact with stored feed but is contained.	Clean water washes stored feed from storage area.		
8.03) Are silage leachate and polluted runoff collected and/or treated?	<i>Provisions are made to control and/or treat leachate to protect groundwater and surface water</i> from a direct discharge. (Includes capturing of leachate from drains.) Designed system or management controls are in place.	Designed system in place but not maintained.	No system in place or lack of appropriate management or direct discharge to surface water ⁴ or groundwater.		Appropriate silage leachate management demonstrated. (See Supplement.)
8.04) At what moisture content is silage typically harvested and stored?	Generally below 67 percent .	Between 67 and 80 percent.	Over 80 percent.		

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
General silage storage (continued)					
8.05) Does an emergency plan exist for times when leachate production exceeds current management controls?	An up-to-date written plan is available and understood by all farm employees.	Emergency action plan is incomplete or out-of-date.	No emergency action plan that covers excess leachate.		An up-to-date emergency action plan.
8.06) Do you use whole tires or tire sidewalls for securing the cover on bunker silos?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Use 3,000 or less whole tires (unless DEQ approved). No limit on tire side walls. - Whole tires are properly drilled for water drainage. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Use more than 3,000 whole tires without DNRE approval.¹² - Whole tires are not drilled for water drainage. 		
8.07) How are tires and tire sidewalls stored?	Tire and tire side wall piles are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - not more than 40' x 200' horizontal area. - not higher than 15'. - no closer than 30' between piles. - no closer than 20' from property lines. - no closer than 60' from buildings and structures. - not stored with hazardous products. 		Tire and or tire sidewall storage is not in conformance with low risk guidelines.		
8.08) In the case of a tire fire, does the farm have an up-to-date emergency farm plan?	The farm has an up-to-date emergency farm plan that is understood by employees.	More than one-year-old plan or an incomplete plan is available.	No emergency farm plan when more than 3,000 whole scrap tires are stored on the farm. ¹¹		An up-to-date emergency action plan.
Bunker silos					
8.09) What type of floor does the silage storage have?	Concrete, compacted asphalt or lined surface. No cracks or cracks repaired.	Earthen floor with fine-textured soils.	Earthen floor has permeable soils. Or, concrete, asphalt or lined surface contains many cracks.		A maintained impervious surface or fine-textured earthen floor.

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Bunker silos (continued)					
8.10) Is silage covered?	Tight-fitting cover, no leaks.	Cover leaks.	No cover.		
8.11) Are the silage pad and surrounding area kept clean and free of loose silage?	Pad and surrounding area are kept clean.	Evidence of spilled or loose silage.	Pad is not kept clean.		
8.12) Is silage kept with a vertical face to reduce contact with clean water?	Yes.		No.		
Upright silos					
8.13) If there is a floor drain, is leachate collected, treated and/or stored, and applied at agronomic rates?	All leachate is collected, treated, and/or stored and applied at agronomic rates.		Leachate is not collected and/or directly discharges to surface water. ⁴		Appropriate silage management demonstrated.
8.14) How often is silo inspected?	Twice a year.	Once a year.	Less than once a year.		
8.15) For sealed silo systems, is leachate evident around the outside of the silo?	No.	Yes. Leachate is treated or stored.	Yes. Leachate is not treated or stored.		

19

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Farm Site Review (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Silage bag					
8.16) Are holes repaired and the bag water tight?	Yes, holes are repaired and the bag is watertight.	Some holes are repaired.	Holes are not repaired, and moisture is entering the bag.		
8.17) Is plastic disposed of in a licensed landfill or recycled?	Yes.		No, plastic is burned on-site. ⁸		
8.18) Is there a mechanism for collecting or treating or utilizing accumulated leachate?	Yes.		No. Leachate runs from bags to surface water. ⁴		
Drinking water well condition					
9.01) Is there an unused well located on the farmstead?	No unused well, or abandoned well properly sealed.		Unused, unsealed well at farmstead. ¹		Required for MAEAP Farmstead System verification.
9.02) How often do you test your drinking water for nitrates and bacteria?	Tested yearly.	Tested within the past 3 years.	No water testing done, or more than 3 years since last test.		Required for MAEAP Farmstead System verification.
9.03) What are the water test results?	No coliform bacteria or nitrate detected.	Water contamination detected. Public water well(s) test below health advisory limits.	Water contamination detected. Public water wells(s) test above health advisory limits. ³		

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Manure Spreading Plan

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
10.01) How often are fields tested for nutrient levels?	All fields are sampled and tested on a regular basis , at least every 1 to 4 years, depending on crops being grown and the cropping system.	Most fields are sampled and tested every 1 to 4 years. Manure is not applied to fields without a current soil test. Producer plans to bring all field soil tests up-to-date.	Fields have not been tested within the past 4 years.		Field names or map. acres in the cropped portions of the field. Up-to-date soil test reports or schedule to bring all tests up-to-date. On farms pursuing a CNMP, soil samples should be taken every 3 years or more frequently.
10.02) Do soil sampling procedures adequately represent field conditions?	One composite sample is taken from uniform field areas of 15 to 20 acres or from uniform management areas on grid or zone sampling procedures.	One composite sample is taken from uniform field areas of 20 to 40 acres.	One composite sample is taken from areas of greater than 40 acres.		Predominant soil types/soil maps. Cropping histories. Proper soil sampling procedure.
10.03) How is the nutrient content of manure determined?	Laboratory analysis for percent dry matter (solids), ammonium, and total N, P and K.	Book values or standard nutrient content values used.	Manure nutrient content is unknown.		All manure analyses or book values on file.
10.04) How are desired application rates achieved?	Manure analysis (book value, manure test, or mass balance) and field application rates are known.		Application rate is not known.		Rate of manure applied known for all spreaders. Records indicate date of calibration.
10.05) How is the soil's ability to hold water and nutrients considered when calibrating for manure application?	Rates are at or below a level that manure does not run off or escape via tile drains. Tile outlets inspected after application. Manure is prevented from reaching the tile lines.		Manure application rates may be above the soil's ability to hold the water and nutrients. Manure reaches the tile lines and/or directly discharges to surface water. ⁴		No evidence of runoff or tile discharge. Tile lines monitored before and after manure application.

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Manure Spreading Plan (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
10.06) How are fertilizer application rates determined?	<i>Consistent with Michigan State University recommendations</i> and manure nutrients are credited. When MSU recommendations are not available other land grant university recommendations developed for the region may be used.	Fertilizer rates are based on soil testing lab recommendations but not consistent with MSU recommendations.	Fertilizer is not based on soil testing.		Applications consistent with MSU recommendations (MSU soil test printout or calculated MSU recommendations on field). When MSU recommendations are not available, other land-grant university recommendations developed for the region may be used.
10.07) What manure management records are maintained?	Complete application <i>records of manure analysis, soil test results and rates of manure application for individual fields are maintained.</i>	Partial manure application records have been kept. Complete manure application records will be kept in the future, for review at the time of re-verification.	Minimal or no records maintained.		Additional nutrient management records that are needed if manure is used in the cropping system: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Date(s) of manure incorporation. • Weather conditions during application of manure (e.g., sunny, 70 degrees F). • Field conditions during application of manure (wet, dry, frozen, etc.). • Manure/wastewater quantities produced and nutrient analysis results. • Records of rental or other agreements for application of manure/wastewater on land not owned by the producer. • Record of manure/wastewater sold or given away to other landowners.

22

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) *Blue print (bold italic)* indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Manure Spreading Plan (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
10.08) How are manure nitrogen application rates managed?	<i>Manure nitrogen rates do not exceed requirements of the crop</i> and are credited toward fertilizer needs. Pre-sidedress nitrate test (PSNT) may be part of the program.	Manure nitrogen credits are considered but not to their full extent.	Commercial nitrogen is not reduced to account for manure nitrogen credits.		Manure rates do not exceed crop N needs, consistent with GAAMPs.
10.09) How are manure phosphorus application rates managed?	<i>High testing fields (>150 ppm Bray P1) do not receive manure, and fields between 75 and 150 ppm P receive no more than 4 years, crop P205 removal per year, if one-year application, is impractical.</i>	High testing fields (>150 ppm Bray P1) removed from spreading plan, but crop removal rates are not followed.	Manure application rates are not based on soil tests and/or crop removal rates.		Manure rates do not exceed crop P needs. If developing a CNMP, refer to USDA-NRCS 590 Standard.
10.10) Are odor reduction practices utilized when manure is land applied?	<i>Manure is incorporated within 48 hours or injected into the soil, and/or conservation practices (residue management, rough tillage, cover crops, etc.) are used to protect against runoff and erosion losses to surface waters.</i>	Manure is generally incorporated within 7 days.	All manures are surface applied and may not be incorporated until field is covered or until spring tillage.		Manure application records. Incorporation exceptions include: pastures or forage crops, or fields where crop residues are retained for erosion control.

23

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Conservation Practices for Fields used for Manure Application

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
11.01) Are manure applications managed to avoid ponding, soil erosion and/or runoff?	<i>Liquid manure applications are being managed in a manner to optimize nutrient utilization and do not result in ponding, soil erosion losses, or manure runoff to adjacent property, drainage ditches or surface water.</i>	Some consideration is given to ponding, soil erosion and/or runoff.	Ponding, soil erosion and/or runoff are not considered. Manure directly discharges to surface water. ⁴		No evidence of manure ponding, soil erosion and/or runoff.
11.02) Have environmentally sensitive areas been identified (land near surface water, highly erodible land, soils with high leaching or runoff potentials, wells and surface inlets) that require additional management when applying nutrients (manure and fertilizers)?	Environmentally sensitive areas are identified. Family members, employees and contractors are aware of and understand the management practices to protect these areas.	Some environmentally sensitive areas are identified.	Environmentally sensitive areas are not considered.		Sensitive areas identified on field maps with appropriate management or setbacks: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Areas next to surface water. • Fields with shallow groundwater. • Fields with water wells. • Areas near surface water inlets. • Fields with highly erodible soils. • Fields with highly leachable soils. • Fields with high runoff potential. Training/communication plan to inform workers and contractors of appropriate management or setbacks is in place.

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) *Blue print (bold italic)* indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Conservation Practices for Fields used for Manure Application (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
11.03) How are fields selected for spreading on frozen and snow-covered ground?	No winter applications.	Manure application risks index (MARI) is completed for each field receiving winter manure application. Fields receiving winter manure applications have met MARI criteria for Low or Very Low and no liquid manure is applied on slopes greater than 3%, and no solid manure is applied to slopes over 6%.	Applications are made to fields where runoff to water resources may occur.		MARI completed for each field receiving winter manure application, or spreading plan does not include winter spreading.
11.04) Is soil erosion under control?	Soil erosion losses are within tolerances as documented by the revised universal soil loss equation (RUSLE2) and the wind erosion prediction system (WEPS). Minimal evidence of erosion and no evidence of concentrated water flows. Cover crop may be in place.	RUSLE2 and WEPS are run on fields that are not: In pasture or hay ground, or no-till planting systems. Receiving fall tillage, with >30% residue on less than 12% slopes. Receiving more than one pass fall tillage that leaves fields rough with >40% residue and less than 8% slopes. And regardless of fall tillage, spring tillage leaves > 20% residue. And for all of the above there is no evidence of sheet, rill or gully erosion.	Excessive soil erosion is occurring on the farm.		RUSLE2 AND WEPS calculations completed and on file.

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Conservation Practices for Fields used for Manure Application (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
11.05) How is manure generally applied?	<i>Manure is incorporated within 48 hours or injected into the soil, and/or conservation practices</i> (residue management, rough tillage, cover crops, etc.) <i>are used to protect against runoff and erosion losses to surface waters.</i>	Manure is generally surface-applied, and conservation practices are employed to reduce the risk of runoff.	Manure is applied in a manner that results in ponding, soil erosion losses, or manure runoff to adjacent property, drainage ditches or discharges directly to surface water. ⁴		Manure application records.
11.06) How are streams, wetlands, farm ditches and other water bodies protected from manure runoff?	<i>Manure is injected or immediately incorporated. Or, surface applications are not done within 150 feet of surface water. Or, filter strips, riparian buffer strips, grassed waterways and other conservation practices are maintained between fields and surface waters on the farm and around surface water inlets.</i>	Conservation practices are maintained on some fields.	Manure is applied within 150 feet of surface waters and not incorporated without conservation practices. And/or, manure occasionally reaches neighbor's property.		Field maps with setbacks and conservation practices identified. Records of manure incorporation.
11.07) How are field tiles managed to prevent manure discharge?	<i>Liquid manure is prevented from reaching tile lines.</i> Management practices are in place to prevent runoff to surface inlets. Tile line outlets are monitored.		Tile outlets are not monitored for manure discharge.		Tiled fields identified on map. Record of tile flow before and after application (flow rate, color and odor).

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Conservation Practices for Fields used for Manure Application (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Irrigation management on manured fields					
11.08) If liquid manure is applied through an irrigation system, is care taken to assure that application rates do not exceed soil infiltration rates?	Application rates do not exceed soil infiltration rates.		Application rates exceed soil infiltration rates, and/or runoff occurs.		No field evidence of runoff. Irrigation records.
11.09) Are appropriate backflow prevention devices in place and properly maintained when applying liquid manure through irrigation?	Backflow prevention safety devices are used and properly maintained when irrigating with liquid manure.	Backflow prevention devices are almost always used and/or properly maintained.	Backflow prevention devices are not used and/or properly maintained.		Operational backflow devices field confirmed.
11.10) Is care taken to assure that irrigated manure does not flow into subsurface drains?	Field conditions are monitored before, during and after irrigation, and liquid manure is prevented from reaching tile lines. Appropriate measures are taken to avoid surface water discharges.		No care is taken to monitor field conditions, tile drains, etc., when irrigating liquid manure. Direct discharge to surface water. ⁴		No evidence of manure flow into surface drains.
11.11) If there are instances where diluted wastewater (≤ 1 percent solids) is applied to fields testing over 150 ppm P soil test, can the farmer document appropriate conditions for application?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Growing plants in the application area. • Wastewater application rate supplies less than 75% P crop removal. • Annual sampling of wastewater P content. • Soil P tests levels decline over time. • No other P applied to field. • Tile drain fields monitored for manure flow. 	Appropriate conditions are partially met.	Appropriate conditions for dilute wastewater application are not present.		Appropriate dilute wastewater management demonstrated. The CNMP guidelines and NRCS Nutrient Management Practice standard (590) require the use of the Michigan Phosphorus Index (PI) when wastewater is applied to fields testing over 150 ppm P soil test. A PI of 17 or lower is needed.

27

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Emergency Plan and Employee Training

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
12.01) Is an emergency plan in place in the event of a manure spill?	Up-to-date written plan available and understood by all farm employees.	Incomplete or out-of-date action plan available.	No emergency action plan that deals with manure spills.		Up-to-date emergency farm plan.
12.02) What method of training is used to inform employees about the farm's emergency plan?	Employees are trained either by formal (class) or informal methods to respond properly to spills and discharges.	Training is sporadic or occasional.	No training is provided to employee responsible for manure handling.		

Mortality Management and Veterinary Waste Disposal

13.01) How are animal mortalities handled?	Animals are buried, incinerated (requires permit), land filled, placed in a compost pile or picked up by a rendering service within 24 hours of death or stored for a maximum of 7 days at 40 degrees F or a maximum of 30 days at 0 degrees F before proper disposal of the carcass.		Animals are not buried, incinerated, land filled, placed in a compost pile or picked up by a rendering service within 24 hours of death. Or, stored for more than 7 days at 40 degrees F or more than 30 days at 0 degrees F before disposal of the carcass.⁵		Disposal of dead animal bodies is done according to the Bodies of Dead Animals Act (BODA), as amended in 2007. Up-to-date forms on file for verification. (See Supplement.)
---	---	--	---	--	---

Mortality composting

13.02) If mortality composting is used, what are the isolation distances for the composting site?	Static pile site is located at least 200 feet from waters of the state, 200 feet from any well, 200 feet from nearest non-farm residence and 2 feet above seasonal high water table.		Site is located less than 200 feet from waters of the state, 200 feet from any well, 200 feet from nearest non-farm residence, and 2 feet above seasonal high water table.⁵		Isolation distances meet BODA requirements. The BODA supplement, available at the MAEAP Web site, has been completed and reviewed.
--	--	--	---	--	--

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Mortality Management and Veterinary Waste Disposal (continued)

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
Mortality composting (continued)					
13.03) Does the composting process follow standards identified in the Bodies of Dead Animals Act, (BODA), as amended in 2007?	Current BODA standards followed.		BODA standards not followed. ⁵		Composting records on file. The BODA supplement has been completed and reviewed.
13.04) How are animal health care needles and syringes disposed?	Sharps are put into a puncture-resistant container, labeled and taken to licensed landfill.		Disposal at landfill without protective containment, or disposed of on the farm. ²		Presence of a sharps disposal container.
13.05) How are unwanted or unusable animal medications and healthcare products disposed of?	Taken to licensed landfill or veterinarian or distributor for disposal.		Flushed down the drain, dumped on the farm or dumped in the manure pit. ⁴		

29

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) **Blue print (bold italic)** indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Odor Management

Risk question	Low risk – 3 (recommended)	Medium risk – 2 (potential hazard)	High risk – 1 (significant hazard)	Your risk	Records or evidence for MAEAP verification
14.01) Were the Michigan Right-to-Farm Site Selection and Odor Control GAAMPs used to site a new or expanding livestock production facility (after August 1, 2003)?	<i>Has MDARD verification, MDARD verification is not required</i> , or not applicable.	Followed Siting GAAMP recommendations. Have not been verified by MDARD.	Did not follow Siting GAAMPs.		Conformance with the site selection and odor control GAAMPs.
14.02) Has there ever been an odor complaint from neighbors?	No.	Yes, but situation was mediated without third party involvement.	Yes. MDA was called in.		
14.03) Does the farm have an odor management plan?	An odor management plan has been developed and implemented. <i>Farm is managed to minimize odor impacts upon neighbors.</i>	A partial odor management plan has been developed and implemented.	No odor management plan has been developed.		A written odor management plan has been developed and reviewed. (See Supplement.)

Other Environmental Risks in the Livestock System

15.01) Are there other activities, products, processes/equipment, services, byproducts, and/or wastes at this farm that pose contamination risks to groundwater or surface water?	No additional contamination risk(s) are identified.	Plan to mitigate the identified contamination risk(s).	No plan to mitigate identified contamination risk(s).		No other environmental risks found.
--	---	--	---	--	-------------------------------------

A boxed risk level indicates the level required for environmental assurance verification (MAEAP verification).

Bold print indicates a violation of state or federal regulation. (See Table 1 on page 31.) *Blue print (bold italic)* indicates conformance with Right to Farm guidelines.

Table 1. Legal citations for environmental risks in Livestock*A*Syst

Footnote	Michigan Law	Description
1	Public Health Code, Public Act 368 of 1978, as amended	Part 127: Water Supply and Sewer Systems
2	Public Health Code, Public Act 368 of 1978, as amended	Part 138: Medical Waste Regulatory Act
3	Safe Drinking Water Act, Public Act 399 of 1976, as amended	
4	Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, Public Act 451 of 1994, as amended	Part 31: Water Resources Protection
5	Bodies of Dead Animals Act, Public Act 239 of 1982, as amended	
8	Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, Public Act 451 of 1994, as amended	Part 115: Solid Waste Management
9	Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, Public Act 451 of 1994, as amended	Part 55: Air Pollution Control
10	Grade A Milk Law, Public Act 266 of 2001, as amended	
11	Natural Resources and Environmental Protection Act, Public Act 451 of 1994, as amended	Part 169: Scrap Tires

Livestock Improvement Action Plan (continued)

Risk question	List high-risk practice(s) from Livestock*A*Syst and medium-risk practices that do not meet MAEAP requirements	Required for MAEAP verification	Alternative low-risk practice (include potential sources of technical and financial assistance)	Action plan	
				Planned completion date	Indicate date when completed

33

MAEAP Verification Action Plan	Date
Target date for MAEAP verification of Cropping System	
Target date for MAEAP verification of Farmstead System	
Target date for MAEAP verification of Livestock System	

For MAEAP verification, contact MAEAP office at the Michigan Department of Agriculture and Rural Development: 517-373-9797.

Livestock* A*Syst was printed with the financial assistance of
CSREES Great Lakes Regional Water Program.

MICHIGAN STATE | Extension
U N I V E R S I T Y

MSU is an affirmative-action, equal-opportunity employer. Michigan State University Extension programs and materials are open to all without regard to race, color, national origin, gender, gender identity, religion, age, height, weight, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, marital status, family status or veteran status. Issued in furtherance of MSU Extension work, acts of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Thomas G. Coon, Director, MSU Extension, East Lansing, MI 48824. This information is for educational purposes only. Reference to commercial products or trade names does not imply endorsement by MSU Extension or bias against those not mentioned.

Major revision (destroy old) 9:11 - 700 - LKP - LB